EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6	Jason S. Takenouchi (CBN 234835) Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP 101 California Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-6140 Fax: (415) 398-5030 JTakenouchi@kasowitz.com Marc E. Kasowitz (pro hac vice forthcoming) Christine A. Montenegro (pro hac vice forthcoming) Nicholas A. Rendino (pro hac vice)	ming)		
7 8	Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP 1633 Broadway New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 506-1700			
9 10	Telephone: (212) 506-1700 Fax: (212) 506-1800 mkasowitz@kasowitz.com			
11 12	cmontenegro@kasowitz.com nrendino@kasowitz.com			
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff MLW Media LLC			
14 15		S DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	MLW MEDIA LLC,) CASE NO. <u>——5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>		
17	Plaintiff,) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR:		
18	v.) 1) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE		
19	WORLD WRESTLING	WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS; 1) MONOPOLIZATION IN VIOLATION		
20	ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,	OF THE SHERMAN ACT (15 U.S.C. § 2) ATTEMPTED MONOPOLIZATION IN		
21	Defendant.	VIOLATION OF THE SHERMAN ACT (15 U.S.C. § 2)		
22		23) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC		
23		RELATIONS; 3 <u>4</u>) VIOLATION OF SECTION 2 OF THE		
24		SHERMAN ANTITRUST ACT		
25		<u>INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE</u> <u>WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS</u> ;		
26		AND 4 <u>5</u>) BUS. & PROF. CODE §17200 <i>ET SEQ</i> .		
27				

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

REDACTED VERSION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

5

Plaintiff MLW Media LLC ("MLW"), for its <u>first amended</u> complaint against defendant World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. ("WWE"), alleges as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. This action arises out of the egregious efforts from the predatory and unfair conduct of professional wrestling promotion company WWE to destroy its competitor MLW's business and maintain its dominance of the U.S. broadcasting marketin the destruction of competition in the national market in the United States for the sale or licensing of media rights for professional wrestling by unlawfully interfering with MLW's access to media markets and wrestling talent.programming (the "Relevant Market"). WWE dominates the Relevant Market -- controlling approximately 92% of the revenues in that market derived from professional wrestling media rights deals. WWE intends to acquire and has acquired and maintains monopoly power in the Relevant Market by excluding or suppressing competition through exclusivity agreements with key media companies and interfering with its competitors' media rights contracts, resulting in substantial foreclosure of approximately 92% of the Relevant Market as measured by WWE's share of media rights revenue, and through conduct designed to lock up the critical inputs essential for the creation of professional wrestling programming. WWE has maintained its dominance through predatory, unfair and anti-competitive conduct since at least 2001, when WWE acquired its largest competitor, World Championship Wrestling ("WCW").

26

27

<u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT <u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>

- 2. The intent and effect of WWE's scheme, as described more fully below, has been to harm competition, destroy or harm competitors, such as MLW, and to maintain supracompetitive pricing on media companies, and ultimately consumers, for professional wrestling programming.

 The supracompetitive pricing is exemplified by a 261% increase since 2018 in the average annual value of WWE's TV media rights fees for professional wrestling programming, even as its ratings have declined.
- 3. 2. Plaintiff MLW, a professional wrestling company that generates cutting-edgeproduces professional wrestling contentprogramming and sells broadcasting rights to that contentmedia rights for that programming to media companies, competes in the Relevant Market with other professional wrestling companies promotion companies, including WWE, through locating and signing up-and-coming wrestling talent, promoting and selling tickets for live events, and broadcasting and licensing wrestling programs.
- 4. Despite the declining popularity of WWE's wrestling programs as reflected by, among other things, declining ratings in four of the last five years, WWE has maintained its market dominance and increased its profits and revenues by suppressing competition and targeting MLW and other competitors through its predatory and unlawful conduct. WWE has systematically raised competitors' costs to enter the Relevant Market and compete, and impaired their ability to increase their market share by tying up the major networks and media companies through agreements to exclusively distribute WWE content, blocking or interfering with competitors' access to arenas where live professional wrestling events are performed and programming is produced, locking up wrestlers through exclusive agreements, predatory hiring, and other predatory conduct such as interfering with competitors' media rights contracts. By exploiting its market power to raise the cost of access to these critical inputs, WWE harms competition, impairs rivals' ability to compete and inhibits their ability to increase their share in the Relevant Market.

<u>5.</u> Through its unlawful exclusionary agreements, WWE has also maintained its monopoly power by foreclosing a substantial share of the Relevant Market. Through its agreements with media companies for media rights deals -- which are priced at supracompetitive levels -- to exclusively distribute WWE content, WWE prevents the expansion of its rivals and their ability to compete in the Relevant Market. WWE has foreclosed approximately 92% of the Relevant Market as measured by media rights revenue.

3. WWE has dominated the U.S. market for wrestling broadcasting content -- to the tune of 85% of the market -- since 2001, when it acquired its biggest competitor, World Championship Wrestling, maintaining its dominance through unfair and anticompetitive business practices, including peaching talent, misappropriating confidential information, interfering with competitors' contracts and cutting off competitors' access to their viewing audiences.

4. With the popularity of WWE's programs declining over the last five years -- due to, among other things, inferior content -- WWE targeted MLW with unlawful predatory conduct, including airing without authority MLW wrestling footage, inducing MLW wrestlers under exclusive contracts with MLW to terminate those contracts, and encouraging MLW wrestlers to breach their contracts with MLW by disclosing MLW's confidential and proprietary business information.

For example, WWE also unlawfully interfered with MLW's television broadcasting <u>6.</u> agreement with VICE TV ("VICE"), and unfairly has exercised its dominant market power to restrict output and restricted consumer choice in the Relevant Market by interfering with MLW's negotiations with one of the fastest growing entertainment cable networks in America. Under that May the United States, VICE TV ("VICE"), and MLW's media rights agreement with Tubi, a California-based streaming service, which is owned by major media company Fox Corporation ("Fox").

7. WWE's interference with MLW's business continued in mid-2021 after MLW The agreement would have had a profound substantially beneficial impact on MLW's business by giving its gignificantly increasing its programming exposure to Fox's broad television and NFL football audience, and would have further positioning positioned MLW for future media deals.

- 8. When WWE found outlearned about the agreement, WWE once again exploited its market power to suppress competition in the Relevant Market. WWE contacted a Tubi executive located in Tubi's headquarters in the company's San Francisco and threatened headquarters. threatening that if Tubi did not terminate the MLW contract, WWE would cease doing business with Fox and would, among other things, pull important WWE programs from Fox platforms. Soon thereafter, and just days before MLW content programming was to begin airing on Tubi, the MLW contract was terminated, resulting in substantial losses to MLW and harm to consumers, including in California.
- 10. 9. WWE's wrongfulunlawful interference with the business relationship between

 Tubi/ and MLW-agreement, which also resulted in the cancellation of important agreements,

 reversed the momentum the company had been generating with fans, cutting off MLW's deprived

 MLW of access to a broader fan base, and leading lead to event cancellations and delays, resulting all of which resulted in a 40% dropdecline in MLW's ticket sales within weeks and a substantial decline in MLW's valuation.
- 11. Most recently, WWE's predatory conduct further impeded MLW in its ability to compete in the licensing of its programming for distribution on streaming services and continues to threaten to deprive MLW of its ability to license its programming for distribution on cable. As a result of WWE's misconduct, MLW is at risk of its business being irreparably destroyed. In February 2023, MLW's new media partner -- Reelz -- announced a distribution deal with streaming service Peacock. But as a direct result of WWE's exclusivity arrangement with NBCUniversal, which prohibits any other professional wrestling programming on Peacock, MLW's programming is excluded from this streaming deal, which further suppresses competition in the Relevant Market.

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
า	5	

MLW also is	reportedly at ris	k of losing its	s cable deal	with Reelz a	s a result of V	WWE's exclusivity
with Peacock						

- 12. In sum, WWE's predatory and unfair anti-competitive conduct in the Relevant

 Market is multi-faceted, with the intent and effect of expanding and maintaining its market power.

 This conduct includes, but is not limited to: (1) substantially foreclosing the Relevant Market
 through maintaining exclusivity agreements with major media companies and interfering with
 competitors' media rights deals; (2) substantially increasing barriers to entry in the Relevant Market
 by raising rivals' costs and restricting their access to the critical and scarce inputs required for
 professional wrestling programming, namely athletic performers with the requisite physical skills,
 acting talent, and marketability to be professional wrestlers, including by hiring away rivals'
 wrestlers and not using them and by threatening to never hire talent that previously signed with
 rivals ("blacklisting"); and (3) blocking and foreclosing the access of rivals to professional wrestling
 venues, which are necessary for the production of professional wrestling programming. The
 combined effect of the conduct is that WWE has maintained its dominant market power.
- hampered and abuse of its market power, WWE has substantially harmed competition in the U.S. wrestling market Relevant Market by depriving MLW and other competitors of access to key media distribution channels, and itsplatforms. Its conduct has harmed consumers purchasers of media rights for professional wrestling programming by depriving them of content and keeping prices high-programs and enabled WWE to impose and maintain supracompetitive prices, and in turn has harmed wrestling fans by reducing their choices and quality of professional wrestling programming and increasing their costs of consuming that content.
- 14. As a result of WWE's wanton misconduct, MLW has anti-competitive and predatory conduct, MLW and other professional wrestling promotions have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial monetary damages and irreparable harm, resulting from, among other

26

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
	3
2	4
	5
	6

things, continued loss of brand recognition and valuable talent, posing a serious risk that its business will be destroyed.

<u>15.</u> 12. MLW therefore seeks compensatory, treble and exemplary damages arising from WWE's unlawful conduct, and injunctive relief barring enjoining WWE from inflicting further irreparable harm through its anticompetitive anti-competitive and tortious conduct.

PARTIES

- 16. 13. Plaintiff MLW is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Mamaroneck, NYNew York. MLW is a subsidiary of its holding company MLW LLC. MLW is a professional wrestling promotion engaged in the business of promoting sporting professional wrestling events, particularly live events, programming, and digital content related to professional wrestling. As an innovative startup that relaunched in 2017, MLW caught the attention of consumers by developing cutting-edge storylines and character wrestlers with distinct and unique identities.
- 17. 14. Defendant WWE is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Stamford, Connecticut. WWE is registered and transacts business in the State of California. WWE is a professional wrestling promotion that has been in the entertainment business of promoting professional wrestling and sports entertainment for decades under various names.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

18. 15. This action seeks damages caused by WWE's violation of, among other things, Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2, and Section 4 of the Clayton Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 15. This Court therefore has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and Sections 4 and 16 of the Clayton Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15, 26. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 over MLW's California state law claims.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

19. 16. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to Sections 4, 12 and 16 of the Clayton Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15, 22, 26, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), inasmuch as WWE transacts business and has an agent in this District, and it is the District where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

BACKGROUND

<u>I.</u> <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 20. MLW, an innovative professional wrestling promotion company, brings this antitrust action for damages and injunctive relief arising out of WWE's overarching anti-competitive scheme to maintain and enhance its monopoly power in the Relevant Market.
- 21. Over the course of decades, WWE has maneuvered to obtain and maintain monopoly power in the Relevant Market through a series of acts and conduct intended to suppress competition and foreclose the expansion of its competitors in the Relevant Market. And it has succeeded -- since 2001, WWE has been synonymous with the promotion of professional wrestling as sports entertainment in the United States. But WWE's acquisition of monopoly power in the Relevant Market did not happen overnight.
- 22. WWE's monopolistic hold over professional wrestling programming in the United

 States has resulted from years of WWE's predatory and unfair conduct and its ongoing anticompetitive scheme to exclude competition, including by substantially foreclosing the Relevant

 Market by tying up the major media distribution channels -- which are essential to the business of
 promoting professional wrestling programming -- by maintaining exclusivity agreements and
 interfering with its competitors' media rights deals.
- 23. WWE's anti-competitive and unfair business practices also include increasing barriers to entry in the Relevant Market through interference with competitors' access to wrestlers and venues, predatory hiring and exclusionary conduct, and tortious interference with its competitors' businesses. By depriving competitors and consumers of access to these vital inputs,

competitors' production costs and impaired its competitors' ability to compete,
r suppressing competition in the Relevant Market.
OFESSIONAL WRESTLING INDUSTRY:
ILW, non-party All Elite Wrestling ("AEW") and non-party Impact Wrestling
etitors in the United States professional wrestling market. The relevant produc
of this action is the national market for the sale of broadcasting rights for
ng programs to networks, cable and streaming services (the "Relevant Market").
ssional wrestling as a form of sports entertainment is produced by professional
companies such as WWE and MLW.
tling as sports entertainment is a unique spectacle that showcases an ostensibly
vent using a high level of theatrical flourish and creative storytelling for the
entertaining an audience. Unlike competitive sports, the outcomes of these
nly predetermined and scripted. But unlike other types of entertainment, sports
ent is also an athletic performance and requires performers with a high level of
ialized skills. Live audiences also play an active role in the performance, which
ive audience like no other sport or entertainment.
ighout the 1990s, WWE and WCW were the two major wrestling promotions
ited States. A third major national promotion at the time, Extreme
tling ("ECW"), was much smaller than WWE and WCW.
g this period, competition in the Relevant Market redounded to the benefit of
ng fans and the industry, and the late 1990s became one of the most-watched
professional wrestling history. That competitive dynamic changed at the turn of
WE acquired WCW, after TBS parent company, Time Warner, merged with
OL Time Warner") and AOL Time Warner sought to sell its interest in WCW.
10
FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD
-

1	28. <u>In June 2000, WWE entered into an agreement with TNN's parent company, which</u>
2	resulted in WWE's Raw program debuting on TNN in September 2000. TNN subsequently abruptly
3	cancelled its national television deal with ECW, which ceased operations in 2001. This cancellation
4	of ECW's programming the week of Raw's premiere on TNN network occurred despite ECW's high
5	ratings and three-year contract with TNN. After ECW was unable to secure a new national
6	television contract, WWE purchased ECW's assets and video library.
7	29. By 2001, WWE effectively became the sole professional wrestling company
8	operating on the national stage.
9	III. THE RELEVANT MARKET
10	30. The Relevant Market in this action is the United States national market for the sale of
11	licensing of media rights for professional wrestling programs, which includes the media rights for
12	professional wrestling TV series and programs that are aired on U.S. national television networks,
13	<u>U.S. cable and satellite television networks, pay-per-views purchased by U.S. households, and U.S.</u>
14	streaming services. WWE has attempted with a dangerous probability of achieving monopoly
15	power to monopolize the Relevant Market, and does maintain monopoly power in the Relevant
16	Market.
17	31. Based on revenues, WWE controls approximately 92% of the Relevant Market. The
18	second largest competitor, All Elite Wrestling ("AEW"), has approximately 6% of the Relevant
19	Market. MLW and the remaining competitors, collectively, have less than approximately 2% of the
20	Relevant Market. The other non-party competitors are AEW, Impact Wrestling ("Impact"), New
21	Japan Pro-Wrestling ("NJPW"), Women of Wrestling ("WOW"), Ring of Honor ("ROH"), and
22	National Wrestling Alliance ("NWA").
23	32. WWE broadcasts its professional wrestling programming on USA Network and Fox
24	and streams on Peacock, the subscription streaming service owned by NBCUniversal. WWE also
25	airs programming on A&E Network ("A&E"), which co-owns VICE. Since launching in 2019,
26	11
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	AEW broadcasts on Warner Bros. Discovery's TNT and TBS networks and offers streaming content
2	through subscription streaming services which offer access to the cable TV channels TNT and TBS
3	such as Hulu and Sling TV. Impact broadcasts on AXS TV since 2019 and also streams its content
4	on its proprietary subscription streaming service, Impact Plus. NJPW resumed broadcasts in the
5	United States on AXS TV in 2022 and also streams its content through NJPW World, a worldwide
6	streaming site owned jointly with TV Asahi. WOW, a women's professional wrestling promotion,
7	broadcasts nationally on CBS or The CW affiliates (owned by or in syndication with Paramount
8	Global) and streams on Pluto TV (owned by Paramount Global). MLW recently began broadcasting
9	on Reelz in February 2023 and streams on Pro Wrestling TV. ROH previously was broadcast on
10	television stations or media platforms owned by or in syndication with Sinclair Broadcast Group
11	("Sinclair") until December 2021, and currently streams exclusively on its Honor Club streaming
12	platform since relaunching on March 2, 2023. NWA streams its first-run content on YouTube and
13	streams pay-per-view events on FITE TV.
14	33. To effectively compete, professional wrestling promotions need to license or sell their
15	media rights to a major network or media distribution channel that can afford them the fees,
16	sponsors, and unique viewership to sign top talent and produce and market their professional
17	wrestling programs. Indeed, as leading industry analysts have explained "the pro wrestling business
18	is not that viable as just a live event business. It's more viable if you can sell media."
19	34. The competing professional wrestling promotions in the Relevant Market have been
20	aired in recent years on 13 cable television networks and 7 streaming platforms in the United States,
21	representing a tiny fraction of the vast number of U.S. media platforms: USA Network, SYFY and
22	Peacock (all owned by NBCUniversal), Fox, TBS and TNT (both owned by Warner Bros.
23	
24	¹ Jason Ounpraseuth & Brandon Thurston, <i>Has Game Changer Wrestling become a top three wrestling promotion in the U.S.?</i> , Wrestlenomics (Nov. 30, 2021).
25	https://wrestlenomics.com/2021/11/30/has-game-changer-wrestling-become-a-top-three-wrestling-promotion-in-the-u-s/.
26	12
27	<u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT

1	Discovery), A&E, VICE, Reelz, AXS TV, CBS or The CW affiliates and Pluto TV (owned or
2	syndicated via Paramount Global), Sinclair, beIN Sports USA, YouTube, Hulu, FITE TV, Pro
3	Wrestling TV, and Sling TV.
4	<u>Despite the growth of streaming, a major television broadcast partner remains critical</u>
5	for professional wrestling promotions to compete in the Relevant Market. As legendary professional
6	wrestling commentator Jim Ross explains: "You need that as a foundation, and from that foundation
7	you build everything up to include digital or streaming or things of that nature."
8	A. Structure of Professional Wrestling Media Rights Deals
9	<u>36.</u> The business of promoting professional wrestling as sports entertainment
10	<u>nationally in the United States</u> is fundamentally a media industry, with revenues and business
11	valuation driven largely by fees obtained from broadcastingmedia rights deals. For example, almost
12	90% of WWE's revenues in the first six months of 2021 came from its media revenues constitute
13	85% of the 2021 total net revenues reported by WWE in its 2021 SEC Form 10-K, and the majority
14	of those media revenues came from WWE's long-standing media rights agreements with major
15	media distribution channels companies such as NBCUniversal and Fox Sports, which is
16	headquartered in California.
17	<u>37.</u> <u>Professional wrestling promotion companies generally sell a license to air programs</u>
18	<u>but maintain the copyright for that programming.</u> The media companies' rights under licenses can
19	vary from contract to contract, but the professional wrestling promoters, including WWE, generally
20	receive rights fees in exchange for licensing their programming to media companies. The
21	professional wrestling promoters, including WWE, could also receive other forms of payment, such
22	as a share of advertising revenue or a right to sell advertising.
23	38. 19. These corporations Major media companies, including NBCUniversal (owned by
24	Comcast), WarnerMedia owned by AT&TWarner Bros. Discovery, and the Fox media companies,
25	purchase broadcasting rights in the Relevant Marketprofessional wrestling media rights for their
26	13
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

various distribution channels, such as broadcast networks, cable and satellite services, streaming networks, and film production companies. Some of these distribution channels offer content to consumers for free (paid for by advertising), while others, like cable and pay-per-view networks, offer access only through a subscription fee. Streaming, both free and subscriptionsubscription-<u>based</u>, is becoming increasingly popular with consumers.

20. WWE broadcasts on Fox TV and USA Network and streams on WWE Network. NBCUniversal recently purchased WWE's streaming rights for its subscription streaming service Peacock. AEW broadcasts on WarnerMedia's TNT and will move to WarnerMedia's sister channel TBS in 2022. AEW offers streaming content through subscription streaming services which offer access to the cable TV channel TNT, such as Sling TV, Hulu with Live TV, YouTube TV, and through TNT's website. Impact broadcasts on AXS TV and streams these broadcasts simultaneously on its Twitch channel. Impact also streams its content on its proprietary subscription streaming service, Impact Plus.

- These media companies in turn generate advertising revenues from the sale of 39. advertisement slots during professional wrestling programs or from subscriptions. Some media companies may also generate revenue from carrier fees or retransmission consent fees that they charge cable or satellite companies and broadcast networks, affiliates or other pay TV operators to carry or show their programming.
- 40. As detailed below, media rights for professional wrestling programming present a unique value proposition unlike any other media rights because, among other things, such programming caters to the sought-after demographics of the advertisers. Media companies earn advertising revenue tied to the number of viewers that watch a certain program, and particularly for hard-to reach viewers in the age 18-to-49 years, which makes professional wrestling programming valuable given its ability to reach that demographic.

B. There is no Meaningful Substitute for Professional Wrestling Programming

- 41. There is no meaningful substitute for professional wrestling programming, and other sports or television programs are not reasonably interchangeable. As detailed below, professional wrestling programming -- as a form of sports entertainment -- is a distinct and unique form of programming, as the media companies and viewing audience to whom they cater recognize. As a form of sports entertainment, professional wrestling presents scripted athletic performances featuring theatrical gimmicks and creative storylines unlike any other sport or form of entertainment. As WWE's former co-CEO Stephanie McMahon described it further: "It really is both. It's like athletic theater."²
- 42. Media companies do not view other sports or entertainment programming as a substitute for their professional wrestling programs even though there is some crossover between professional wrestling fans and live sports fans. In designing their media rights or programming portfolios, media companies seek to appeal to the full spectrum of their subscribers' interests, and any overlap in the television audience for professional wrestling programming and the television audience for other programming may at most achieve some cross-promotion, but the programs are not viewed as meaningful substitutes for one another by media companies.
- 43. Media companies recognize that different channels and platforms cater to the preferences of different audiences. For example, media companies would not consider programming on the Cooking Channel or Nickelodeon or MSNBC as substitutes for professional wrestling programming because the viewers of those channels and programs have different interests and desires than the viewers of professional wrestling programs.

² See Dade Hayes, WWE Poised To Jump Off Top Rope At NBCUniversal & Fox Upfront Pitches To Advertisers: "We Can Script The Buzzer-Beater Moments", Deadline (May 14, 2022, 8:30 AM), https://deadline.com/2022/05/wwwe-nbcuniversal-fox-upfronts-advertisers-streaming-1235023355/.

1	44. Moreover, viewership diverges as to different programs even within networks. For
2	example, a study of consumers of WWE and professional wrestling programs found that the
3	audience for WWE and professional wrestling programming skews male in the 35-44 years age
4	range and is distinctive. ³ This is consistent with, for example, television ratings for WWE
5	<u>Smackdown</u> . By contrast, television ratings also show that other broadcast programs have
6	demographic profiles that differ from professional wrestling. For example, viewers of Dateline NBO
7	skew female in the 18-49 age range, while viewers of MacGyver and Magnum P.I skew adult over
8	the age of 50.4 Further, a study of consumers watching linear (prescheduled) television shows on
9	national channels more broadly found that this audience demographic skews female and over the age
10	<u>of 65.5</u>
11	45. <u>Industry insiders and experts also agree that professional wrestling is a very niche</u>
12	market segment for producers and consumers alike. ⁶ As former WCW Executive Producer and
13	Senior Vice President Eric Bischoff described professional wrestling: "It's not comedy, it's not
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	³ WWE and Pro Wrestling Fans, Dstillery, https://audiences.dstillery.com/explore/interest/WWE-and-Pro-Wrestling-Fans-40186#demographics
19	[https://web.archive.org/web/20221004031011/https://audiences.dstillery.com/explore/interest/WW E-and-Pro-Wrestling-Fans-40186] (last visited March 2, 2023).
20	4 Friday Network Scorecard, ShowBuzzDaily (Jul. 10, 2020).
21	https://showbuzzdaily.com/articles/the-sked-friday-network-scorecard-7-10-2020.html.
22	⁵ <u>Streaming Linear TV Watchers (National Channels)</u> , <u>Dstillery</u> , https://audiences.dstillery.com/explore/interest/Streaming-Linear-TV-Watchers-National-Channels-
23	57155 [https://web.archive.org/web/20220528155556/https://audiences.dstillery.com/explore/interest/Strea
24	ming-Linear-TV-Watchers-National-Channels-57155] (last visited March 2, 2023).
25	⁶ See Claire Schaeperkoetter et al., Wrestling to Understand Fan Motivations: Examining the MSSC within the WWE, 2 J. ENT. & MEDIA STUD. 111 (2016).
26	16
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD
	<u>Case No. 5.22-cv-1/9-EJD</u>

1	drama, it's not reality in the broader sense of the term. It's not news." Also, Stephanie McMahon
2	remarked, "the company's trademark blend of sports entertainment offers distinct advantages."
3	46. There is a strong demand for professional wrestling programming by professional
4	wrestling fans, who are loyal television viewers that are unlikely to substitute away from
5	professional wrestling programming. Wrestling viewers have traditionally tuned in to their favored
6	wrestling program but may not tune in earlier or later to watch other programming on the same
7	network. For example, the Tuesday night WWE television series "NXT" went head-to-head with the
8	President's State of the Union address on Tuesday, February 7, 2023. Relative to the viewership one
9	week prior and one week after, the "NXT" program on February 7 lost only 8% of its viewership
10	despite going head-to-head with a program watched by more than 27 million U.S. households. ⁸ The
11	number of viewers watching the State of the Union, although lower than in previous years, is still
12	roughly 50% larger than the average number of viewers that watch Sunday Night Football on NBC.
13	which is the most watched television show in the United States with more than 18 million viewers. ⁹
14	<u>47.</u> <u>Professional wrestling, as a form of sports entertainment, is also distinct from sports,</u>
15	including boxing and mixed martial arts ("MMA"). Boxing and MMA are focused on striking and
16	do not have a pre-determined outcome whereas professional wrestling is scripted with a pre-
17	determined winner. Further, professional wrestling storylines can be adapted to suit the interests of
18	
19	⁷ See Dade Hayes, WWE Poised To Jump Off Top Rope At NBCUniversal & Fox Upfront Pitches To
20	Advertisers: "We Can Script The Buzzer-Beater Moments", Deadline (May 14, 2022, 8:30 AM), https://deadline.com/2022/05/wwwe-nbcuniversal-fox-upfronts-advertisers-streaming-1235023355/,
21	8 Mohit Raghuwanshi, WWE NXT Rating: Latest & All-Time Viewerships & Ratings, ITN WWE
22	(Mar. 1, 2023), https://www.itnwwe.com/wrestling/wwe-nxt-ratings-viewership/.
	⁹ Helen Coster & Lisa Richwine, About 27.3 million people watched Biden Address, down from last
23	<u>year</u> , Reuters (Feb. 8, 2023, 7:19 PM), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/heres-how-many-watched bidens-state-union-major-tv-networks-2023-02-08/; <i>Total number of viewers of the most watched</i>
24	television shows in the United States in the 2021/2022 season, Statista,
25	https://www.statista.com/statistics/804812/top-tv-series-usa-2015/ (last visited MONTH DAY,
26	<u>2023).</u>
20	17
27	<u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT

1	its fans. As MLW CEO Court Bauer has explained: "Unlike the UFC, you can give fans the happy
2	endings. You can give them the cliffhangers, you can give them the mystery, you can give them the
3	intrigue."10 Boxing and MMA are also governed by state athletic gaming commissions (or
4	equivalents thereof) and are subject to commission-approved definitions and rules which in many
5	states do not apply to professional wrestling.
6	48. Other live team or individual sports are not meaningful substitutes for professional
7	wrestling amongst the consuming public (and therefore amongst the purchasers of media rights).
8	The outcomes of live sports are not predetermined and scripted. Professional wrestling promotions
9	also are not organized into teams as is common in many organized sports. Wrestlers are typically
10	signed to a single promotion and compete against other wrestlers under contract with the same
11	promotion. Furthermore, professional wrestling is exempted from many state athletic commissions
12	or is regulated separately from most competitive sports under various insurance or licensing boards.
13	49. Moreover, unlike other live sports, professional wrestling has no off-season. Team
14	sports have competitions only in a certain season of the year and do not provide programming to
15	television channels in the other seasons. Even for individual sports, such as professional golf on the
16	<u>PGA Tour and other international top-tier golf tours that have year-round competitions, the best</u>
17	athletes only participate in less than half of the tournaments and programming. For example, the
18	PGA Tour has 13 Tour events that all top PGA Tour players agree to play together in. These 13
19	"elevated" tournaments, in addition to the four major championships, means that the best and most
20	marketable golfers on the PGA Tour are only guaranteed to compete against each other in 17
21	tournaments (17 weeks) in a year. As a senior executive for Fox Sports explained, professional
22	wrestling programming is "unique in that it's 52 weeks a year. We've never broadcast a sport that's
23	
24	10 Lynette Rice, MLW Founder Court Bauer On His New Partnership With Reelz And His Lawsuit
25	<u>Against WWE: "It's A Ruthless Business"</u> , Deadline (Feb. 7, 2023, 10 AM), https://deadline.com/2023/02/mlw-court-bauer-reelz-premiere-wwe-lawsuit-1235251519/.
26	18
2.7	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

28

1	52 weeks a year. Live program becomes that much more important and really is kind of the	
2	foundation for our new version of Fox."	
3	<u>50.</u> On information and belief, raising the prices for media rights for professional	
4	wrestling programming (or even the price of professional wrestling events) above the competitive	
5	level by a small but significant amount for a substantial period of time would not cause so many	
6	purchasers in the Relevant Market (or the consuming audience) to switch to other sporting events or	
7	entertainment options such that a price increase would be unprofitable.	
8	IV. B. WWE'S Market MONOPOLY POWER IN THE RELEVANT MARKET.	
9	21. WWE's estimated annual total revenue for 2020 in North America was over \$764	
10	million. By contrast, AEW's estimated revenue was \$64 million less than 10% of WWE's.	
11	51. WWE has attempted to achieve monopoly power in the Relevant Market, and has	
12	succeeded in acquiring and maintaining monopoly power in that market through its predatory, anti-	
13	competitive and unfair conduct. WWE's market power, as shown below, is evidenced by its	
14	supracompetitive pricing and ability to exclude competitors, its dominant share of the Relevant	
15	Market, and its ability to erect high barriers to entry to exclude and foreclose competition.	
16	A. WWE Excludes Competitors and Charges Supracompetitive Prices	
17	52. WWE's monopoly power is evidenced by its ability to exclude competitors and	
18	decrease the output of professional wrestling programming. WWE has excluded competition and	
19	restricted output by maintaining exclusivity agreements with the key media companies purchasing	
20	media rights to professional wrestling programs, such as Fox, USA Network and Peacock (owned by	
21	NBCUniversal). Indeed, according to a source involved in the negotiations, "in most (possibly all)	
22	of WWE's TV contracts," WWE reportedly "includes a stipulation that the station is not allowed to	
23	broadcast any other wrestling promotion on their network."11	
24	11 Joseph Lee, BT Sports Unlikely To Air AWE After Warner Bros. Discovery Takeover, 411Mania	
25	(Aug. 12, 2022), https://411mania.com/wrestling/bt-sports-unlikely-to-air-aew-after-warner-brosdiscovery-takeover/.	
26	19	
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
	<u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>	

1	further set forth below, and WWE's anti-competitive conduct is that WWE has maintained its
2	dominant market power and has faced no meaningful price discipline from rival wrestling
3	promotions.
4	<u>57.</u> <u>WWE's monopoly power has earned WWE growing revenues and profits. In four or</u>
5	the past five years, WWE has reported an increase in net income, growing by 206% in 2018,
6	decreasing by 23% in 2019, and then growing by 71% in 2020, 35% in 2021, and 10% in 2022. In
7	contrast, WWE's largest competitor, AEW, has been unable to earn a profit since its founding in
8	<u>2019.</u>
9	<u>58.</u> <u>WWE's revenues also grew at artificially-inflated rates during this period. WWE's</u>
10	revenues from media increase from more than \$683 million in 2018 to more than \$1.03 billion in
11	2022, representing a 50.8% increase. In contrast, AEW's total income not just revenue generated
12	from media for 2022 was approximately \$100 million, or less than 10% of WWE's media-
13	generated revenue.
14	B. WWE Possesses a Dominant Market Share and Imposes and Exploits High Barriers to Entry in the Relevant Market
15	59. 26. WWE's monopoly position in the market is protected by power is also evidenced
16	by its dominant share of the Relevant Market and the high barriers to entry. These barriers include,
17	among others: production costs for professional wrestling shows; exclusive contracts controlling
18	wrestling talent; and the importance of brand recognition to attract the talent necessary to produce
19	content and entice potential new business partners to enter into distribution deals. that WWE erect
20	to exclude and foreclose potential competitors from the Relevant Market.
21	27. Despite growing criticism of the quality of WWE's wrestling content in the last five
22	years, WWE has maintained its market dominance through anticompetitive conduct that has
23	increased the already high barriers to entry. Through its media rights contracts with major network
24	20. 2010) 1:40//
25	29, 2016), https://www.wrestling-online.com/wwe/smackdown-improves-its-viewership-average-compared-to-2015/.
26	22 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
77	TIKO LAWIENDED COMPLAINT

<u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>

and distribution channels, WWE has locked networks (including streaming networks) and other
partners into offering only WWE content, or into giving WWE content more favorable time slots an
marketing opportunities.
<u>i.</u> <u>WWE Has a Dominant Share of the Relevant Market</u>
60. WWE controls a dominant share of the Relevant Market. As noted, WWE has
captured at least approximately 92% of all revenue generated from the sale or licensing of media
rights for professional wrestling programming in the United States. As former WCW President Eric
Bischoff recently observed, "we're talking about WWE, [which] is by far the dominant (company).
There is no competition."15
61. The total revenues generated from the Relevant Market is overwhelmingly derived
from four agreements: WWE's licensing deals with Fox and NBCUniversal (USA Network and
Peacock), which generate \$205 million, \$265 million, and \$200 million in revenue a year,
respectively; and AEW's licensing deal with Warner Bros. Discovery, which generates \$43.8 million
annually.
62. The remaining competitors in the Relevant Market (Impact, MLW, NJPW, ROH and
WOW ¹⁶) collectively have only a <i>de minimis</i> market share an estimated value of approximately
\$11.5 million of the total media rights deals. While the media rights agreements are not publicly
available, the value of those deals have been conservatively estimated. MLW's recent agreement
with Reelz and agreement with Pro Wrestling TV have the potential to generate
The value of NJPW's U.S. media rights deal is undisclosed, but on
15 Andrew Ravens, Eric Bischoff: 'AEW Is Not Competition To WWE No Matter How Much Tony
Khan Wants To Believe It Is', Wrestling Headlines (Aug. 2, 2022),
https://wrestlingheadlines.com/eric-bischoff-aew-is-not-competition-to-wwe-no-matter-how-much-tony-khan-wants-to-believe-it-is/.
¹⁶ NWA currently lacks a TV rights deal or major media partner and, on information and belief,
NWA does not receive fees for its content on YouTube.
23
FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

1	information and belief, is no more than \$1.57 million. The value of WOW's U.S. media rights
2	deal is undisclosed, but on information and belief, is no more than \$8.45 million. 19 As for Impact
3	and ROH, which do not generate revenue from the sale of media rights fees, no value has been
4	ascribed to their deals. Specifically, Impact airs on AXS TV and streams on Impact Plus, which are
5	both owned by Impact's parent corporation, and thus, on information and belief, do not involve
6	negotiated fees or generate revenue from the sale of media rights in the same manner as competitors
7	in the Relevant Market. The same applies, on information and belief, for ROH, which likewise had
8	been broadcast on television stations owned by its parent company at the time (Sinclair) and
9	currently streams on its standalone streaming platform (Honor Club). ²⁰
10	63. Accordingly, WWE controls approximately 92% or \$670 million of the entire
11	approximately \$725 million of revenue generated from the sale of media rights for professional
12	wrestling content in the Relevant Market. In other words, WWE has a 92% market share based on
13	revenues. AEW, the next largest competitor, has a market share based on revenues of approximately
14	6%. The combined market share of the remaining competitors (i.e., MLW, NJPW, WOW) in the
15	Relevant Market amounts to less than approximately 2%.
16	
17	17 This estimate of NJPW's deal value is derived by calculating AEW's revenue per weekly viewer
18	for its Warner Bros. Discovery agreement (\$43,800,000 / 1,482,376 average 2021 viewers = \$29.55 per viewer), and applying that per/viewer metric to NJPW's average weekly 2021 viewers (53,220
19	<u>viewers x \$29.55 = \$1,572,651). Given AEW's relative market position, this valuation likely</u> significantly overestimates the value of NJPW's U.S. media rights. Information on average 2021
20	weekly viewership by entity is provided <i>infra</i> at note 22.
21	18 Jeremy Lambert, <i>NJPW Returning To AXS TV With New Episodes In March</i> , Fightful (Jan. 4, 2022, 4:38 AM), https://www.fightful.com/wrestling/njpw-returning-axs-tv-march.
22	19 This estimate of WOW's deal value is derived by calculating AEW's revenue per weekly viewer
23	for its Warner Bros. Discovery agreement (\$43,800,000 / 1,482,376 average 2021 viewers = \$29.55 per viewer), and applying that per/viewer metric to WOW's average weekly 2021 viewers (285,857)
24	viewers x \$29.55 = \$8,447,074.35). Given AEW's relative market position, this valuation likely
25	significantly overestimates the value of WOW's media rights. 20 Similarly, NJPW streams on its standalone streaming platform (NJPW World).
26	24
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD
	<u>Case Ind. 5.22-CV-1/9-EJD</u>

1	64. While AEW has had greater financial resources relative to other non-WWE
2	promotions companies and has thus far been able to withstand years of negative profits to overcome
3	the barriers to entry erected and expanded upon by WWE in the Relevant Market, other competitors
4	are unable to compete on like terms with WWE. Moreover, even with AEW's financial resources,
5	WWE does not view AEW as "near close" to the intense competition it faced two decades ago from
6	WCW, frankly telling its investors that the competition "is certainly not going to a situation [like
7	with WCW] when Ted Turner was coming after [WWE] with all of Time Warner's assets as
8	well" and that WWE does not "consider them a competition like WCW back in the day, nowhere
9	near close to that."21
10	65. WWE's dominant share of the Relevant Market is similarly shown by a variety of
11	other important metrics. One such metric is the total number of viewers of professional wrestling.
12	<u>In 2021, professional wrestling programs garnered, on average, approximately 6,416,000 total</u>
13	viewers a week. WWE captures approximately 69% of those viewers, or approximately 4,408,000
14	viewers. ²² In other words, more than two-thirds of viewers watch professional wrestling programs,
15	critical component in obtaining (and byproduct of) lucrative television rights agreements.
16	21 Mike Chiari, Vince McMahon Says AEW Isn't 'Anywhere Near Close to' the Competition WCW
17	<u>Was to WWE</u> , Bleacher Report (Jul. 30, 2021), https://bleacherreport.com/articles/10009156-vince-mcmahon-says-aew-isnt-anywhere-near-close-to-the-competition-wcw-was-to-wwe.
18	
19	22 Average weekly viewership figures were aggregated from publicly available reports and data about each of the competing promotions' viewership in 2021: (i) WWE's aggregate average weekly
20	<u>viewership for RAW, Smackdown</u> , and NXT of 4,407,808; (ii) AEW's aggregate average weekly viewership for Dynamite and Rampage of 1,482,376; (iii) Impact's average weekly viewership of
21	120,855; and (iv) MLW's average weekly viewership of 5,200. Reliable public data for ROH's average viewership in the United States in 2021 is not available, but ROH reportedly garnered about
22	400,000 weekly viewers before it paused airing in December 2021. NJPW and WOW were not
23	televised in the United States in 2021. However, in 2022, NJPW's viewership figures were approximately 53,220 viewers per episode on average. WOW was previously cancelled by AXS TV
24	in 2020 and did not begin broadcasting again (via Paramount Global) until late 2022. Since airing in
25	late 2022, WOW has averaged approximately 285,857 viewers per episode. Even if the 2022 figure for NJPW and WOW are included into the 2021 totals, WWE would still have approximately 65%
26	of all weekly viewers for professional wrestling.
	25

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

27

maintains its position and excludes competitors from entering the Relevant Market by erecting and

conduct, as set forth below, WWE has increased its competitors' long-run costs of production and

exacerbating the high barriers to entry. Specifically, through WWE's predatory and anti-competitive

wrestling talent; limited and more costly access to arenas; and the importance of brand recognition to

attract the talent necessary to produce content and entice potential new business partners to enter into

<u>i.</u>

66.

media rights deals.

other barriers to entry to impair their growth and ability to pose a viable threat to WWE's market
share. These barriers that WWE has exacerbated include, among others: limited and more costly
access to major media partners and key distribution channels; limited and more costly access to

WWE Exploits Barriers to Entry in the Relevant Market Through

Anti-competitive Practices Designed to Raise Competitors' Long-

Run Costs of Production And Maintain Its Dominance

Not only does WWE control a dominant share of the Relevant Market, it effectively

(1) Substantial Foreclosure of The Relevant Market By Tying Up

Key Media Partners

67. At the core of WWE's overarching anti-competitive scheme is its exclusivity agreements with key media companies, which intentionally are designed and intended to foreclose competition in a substantial share of the Relevant Market. As alleged, WWE requires most (possibly all) of its media partners to agree to exclusivity provisions, which require that WWE's programs will be the exclusive professional wrestling programming on their networks or platforms. While media companies, as the licensees, will often seek exclusive licensing or distribution rights from the licensor, exclusivity in the other direction is not the norm in the industry. For example, ESPN has media rights agreements with and broadcasts both competing MMA promotions: Ultimate Fighting Championship and Professional Fighters League. Further, AXS TV broadcasts both Impact and NJPW (and previously also broadcast WOW). WWE's exclusive dealing therefore is not necessary for the production of professional wrestling programming or justified by pro-competitive purposes.

1	Rather, the purpose and intent of WWE's exclusivity agreements is the substantial foreclosure of the
2	Relevant Market by impairing its rivals' ability to compete and increase their share in the Relevant
3	Market.
4	68. Through its media rights contracts with major networks and distribution channels,
5	WWE has tied up networks (including streaming networks) and other partners into offering only
6	WWE programming, or into providing WWE programs more favorable time slots and marketing
7	opportunities. For example, WWE has exclusive television rights agreements with Fox and
8	NBCUniversal, two major media companies on the buy-side of the Relevant Market, who operate
9	the two cable networks with the largest coverage in the United States. Indeed, as of February 1,
10	2022, Fox was available in 122.4 million U.S. TV homes which is all of them. ²³ WWE's
11	exclusive arrangements bar competitors from accessing the most prevalent and far-reaching media
12	platforms, foreclosing competitors from key purchasers in the Relevant Market and a significant
13	portion of the media audience for professional wrestling programming.
14	69. WWE's exclusivity agreements with media companies exacerbate barriers to entry by
15	imposing additional long-run costs on competitors who must license or distribute their programming
16	through less efficient platforms, which reach fewer viewers and cannot afford the same licensing
17	fees or other revenues and marketing opportunities as more efficient platforms, or must incur
18	additional costs to try to reach viewers in other ways, such as through creating their own streaming
19	networks. This barrier to entry is particularly significant because there are only a limited number of
20	existing purchasers for professional wrestling media rights. As previously described, the
21	commercial reality is that, in addition to Fox's national television network, professional wrestling
22	programming has appeared in recent years on 13 cable television networks, a tiny fraction of the
23	total number of networks. And through its media rights deals WWE forecloses approximately 92%
24	23 Tony Maglio, From WWE 'SmackDown' to AEW 'Rampage': How Every Pro Wrestling Show
25	Ranks in Ratings, The Wrap (Feb. 25, 2022, 6:00 AM), https://www.thewrap.com/pro-wrestling-ratings-wwe-smackdown-aew-rampage/.
26	27
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	of the potential media platforms in the Relevant Market as measured by share of licensing revenue.
2	WWE's own annual reports note that "[o]ur failure to maintain or renew key agreements could
3	adversely affect our ability to distribute our media content, WWE Network, our films and/or other of
4	our goods and services, which could adversely affect our operating results."
5	<u>70.</u> <u>WWE similarly forecloses competitors from digital streaming, which is generally</u>
6	only viable with media partners who can provide a pre-existing platform. While WWE attempted to
7	create an online streaming network called the WWE Network in 2014, it experienced technical
8	challenges and failed to attract the necessary amount of subscribers to remain a viable standalone
9	streaming network. In light of the WWE Network's failure, WWE's Chief Brand Officer noted the
10	challenges to creating a streaming platform as a means of distribution for wrestling companies:
11	"we're not a technology company and shouldn't try to be."24
12	71. <u>In 2021, WWE reached a five-year agreement with NBCUniversal's streaming</u>
13	platform, Peacock, for the exclusive streaming rights of WWE programming in the United States.
14	worth a reported \$1 billion. WWE currently can reach more than 20 million paid subscribers
15	through Peacock. MLW and other competitors are foreclosed from Peacock's streaming platform as
16	a result of WWE's exclusivity agreements. Additionally, as set forth above, WWE interfered with
17	MLW's licensing agreement with Tubi, a Fox-owned streaming service, which would have allowed
18	MLW to reach Tubi's 64 million monthly active users as of January 2023. The purpose, intent and
19	effect of WWE's predatory conduct in locking up key streaming networks and other distribution
20	channels through exclusive dealing agreements, is to impede the ability of its competitors to compete
21	for media rights deals, and to increase competitors' costs to compete in or exclude them from the
22	Relevant Market.
23	
24	²⁴ Jabari Young, WWE is fully converted to Peacock, now it wants to make more content, CNBC
25	(Apr. 8, 2021, 9:55 AM), https://www.cnbc.com/2021/04/08/wwe-is-fully-converted-to-peacock-now-it-wants-to-make-more-content.html.
26	28
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

72. <u>Further, WWE's exclusivity agreements with media companies also impairs</u>
competitors' ability to acquire commercially-essential talent. Industry observers like Dave Meltze
note that wrestling companies "need television money to sign the industry's top-tier talent", i.e., th
need U.S. television media rights agreements that can afford them the fees and clout to recruit and
retain top performers. By tying up the major media channels for itself, WWE has also made it
difficult for competitors to attract top and up-and-coming talent, who seek the fame and fortune that
come from working with promotions that have major television media rights deals.

(2) Restricting Access to and Raising Costs of Skilled Performers

- 73. WWE's predatory and anti-competitive conduct raises rivals' costs and forecloses rivals by restricting their access to the critical and scarce inputs required for professional wrestling programming, namely, athletic performers with the requisite physical skills, acting talent, and marketability to be professional wrestlers, including by hiring away rivals' wrestlers, for purposes of harming competition rather than any legitimate business purpose. This predatory conduct includes WWE's threats to wrestling performers that they will never be hired if they previously worked with its competitor, MLW.
- Therefore, to the sale or licensing of media rights for that programming. Top wrestling talent also draws larger audiences and loyal fans, and the advertisers keen to reach them. Indeed, WWE has disclosed to shareholders that "[o]ur success depends, in large part, upon our ability to recruit, train and retain athletic performers who have the physical presence, acting ability and charisma to portray characters in our live events, programming and films." The failure to identify and retain talent, "could lead to a decline in the appeal of our storylines and the popularity of our brand of entertainment."
- Through its aggressive and anti-competitive practice of predatory hiring, as detailed below, WWE has worked to block other professional wrestling promotors from hiring and retaining

1	promising or popular wrestlers, thereby ensuring that competing professional wrestling promotors
2	are unable to grow or develop their brands and business or pose a threat to WWE's monopoly power
3	76. WWE began hiring away MLW's wrestlers who were under exclusive contracts as
4	early as 2018. For example, WWE successfully solicited MLW's World Champion Stephon
5	Strickland, while he was under contract with MLW. Canyon Ceman, WWE's Senior Director of
6	Talent Development at the time, had reached out to Strickland to encourage him to opt-out of his
7	agreement with MLW. WWE's interference with that relationship was devastating to MLW's
8	marketing and creative strategy, resulting in MLW incurring substantial costs to change
9	programming, merchandise strategies, creative direction and more. AEW also recently demanded
10	that WWE stop contacting numerous AEW wrestlers in an attempt to hire their wrestlers away from
11	<u>AEW.</u>
12	<u>77.</u> <u>WWE's motivation for the predatory hiring of talent is to lock up the pool of</u>
13	commercially successful and talented wrestlers in order to suppress competition rather than to
14	innovate or produce content. For example, in July 2021, WWE hired away Davey Boy Smith Jr.,
15	one of MLW's most popular wrestlers, from MLW. Rather than using Smith in its programs and
16	incorporating him into its storylines, WWE kept Smith out of its programming, with Smith only
17	appearing in one dark match during his tenure at WWE. By WWE failing to use Smith in its
18	programming, WWE made clear its intent to impair MLW's ability to build its brand and viewership
19	by removing one of its successful wrestlers.
20	78. WWE further prevents MLW and other professional wrestling promoters from
21	retaining and hiring promising talent by making it known to wrestlers in the industry that they will
22	not hire wrestlers who have previously worked with MLW. By doing so, WWE impairs the ability
23	of competitors to identify and develop wrestlers who could serve as a basis for successful storylines
24	79. Additionally, WWE has raised competitors' costs of recruiting, training, and retaining
25	such performers by using worldwide exclusive contracts to limit and restrict competitors' access to
26	30
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD
	$\frac{\text{Casc Ino. } J.22\text{-CV-I}/J\text{-EJD}}{\text{Casc Ino. } J.22\text{-CV-I}/J\text{-EJD}}$

1	wrestlers, even though WWE treats such wrestlers as independent contractors. For example, WWE
2	reportedly "began hoarding talent" in response to the launch of AEW and has signed up wrestlers
3	when other promotions such as ROH "got real hot" in order to deny a crucial input to rivals and
4	impair rivals' ability to grow. ²⁵ Indeed, WWE boasts of having "nearly 250 Superstars under
5	exclusive contracts, ranging from multi-year guaranteed contracts with established Superstars to
6	developmental contracts with our Superstars in training."
7	80. Not only do WWE's agreements prevent wrestlers from working for competitors, but
8	WWE also owns the wrestlers' intellectual property, thereby foreclosing the use of their developed
9	storylines or characters. Promotions must therefore incur additional costs and efforts to also recreate
10	a wrestler's character and history, against their fans' memory and experience, and defying kayfabe,
11	which is core to the promotion of professional wrestling. ²⁶ Moreover, some WWE wrestler contract
12	can have terms that last up to twenty years, effectively precluding the performer from ever working
13	for a competitor, ²⁷ and in turn, preventing wrestlers from operating as independent contractors in a
14	competitive environment. WWE's predatory conduct has exacerbated the barriers to entry by
15	increasing the costs to acquire and retain talent.
16	(3) Cutting off Access to Arenas and Live Audiences
17	81. 28. WWE has also leveraged its decades-long relationships with virtually every majo
18	arena in the United States to erect a third barrier to entry and make it harder for WWE's competitors
19	to book arenas, which are critical for wrestling promotions and the production of weekly
20	
21	25 James Lessman, Bryan Danielson Thinks WWE Began Hoarding Talent Because of AEW, Calls It
22	An Overreaction, RAJAH.com (Dec. 4, 2021), available at https://rajah.com/node/bryan-danielson-thinks-wwe-began-hoarding-talent-because-aew-calls-it-overreaction.
23	²⁶ In the convention of professional wrestling, <i>kayfabe</i> refers to presenting staged performances and characters as real and the act of maintaining that illusion outside the ring.
24	27 Chris Smith, <i>Breaking Down How WWE Contracts Work</i> , Forbes (Mar. 28, 2015, 9:54 AM),
25	https://www.forbes.com/sites/chrissmith/2015/03/28/breaking-down-how-wwe-contracts-
26	<u>work/?sh=529bd81b6713.</u> 31
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

programming. For example, WWE has blocked AEW from hosting an event in two arenas in Cincinnati, Ohio. By blocking competitors from booking arenas, or by increasing competitors' costs to access such venues, WWE has also blocked competitors' entry into the Relevant Market by further impairing their ability to produce programming and compete.

C. WWE Employs Anti-Competitive, Predatory Conduct Towards MLW To Maintain <u>Its Market Power.</u>

29. Through WWE's predatory, anti-competitive conduct, WWE has attempted to monopolize the professional wrestling market and has a dangerous probability of success at achieving monopoly power.

30. MLW, as an innovative startup, caught the attention of consumers by developing cutting edge storylines and character wrestlers with distinct and unique identities. MLW has built a reputation for hiring new wrestlers (also known as "fighters") and spending its time and resources to train and develop those wrestlers to become highly skilled professional fighters. MLW's success is dependent upon its ability to hire and maintain relationships with its fighters and to market and distribute content featuring those fighters.

31. Since 2017, Court Bauer—who worked at WWE until 2007—has been the CEO of MLW. Mr. Bauer, who is the driving force in developing and training MLW talent, is well-known for promoting diversity at MLW and in the sport.

32. The disclosure to its competitors of MLW's confidential policies, practices, and trade secrets, including the terms of its wrestling contracts with its fighters and how it hires and maintains relationships with them, would irreparably harm MLW's business. Accordingly, MLW enters into confidentiality agreements with its fighters.

33. Beginning in early 2020, WWE sought to poach MLW's fighters who were under exclusive contracts, and even aired footage of an MLW wrestler without MLW's consent. WWE also attempted to induce MLW wrestlers to breach their contracts and reveal confidential and

1	proprietary information about MLW's business. WWE also sought to prevent wrestlers from
2	working with MLW by refusing to hire wrestlers who had worked for MLW. As a result of WWE's
3	efforts, one of MLW's wrestlers demanded to be released early from his contract so he could join
4	WWE.
5	82. WWE has engaged in a continued pattern of blocking its competitors from accessing
6	favorable venues. For example, in the summer of 2018, ROH, a competing professional wrestling
7	promotion owned at the time by Sinclair, booked a major wrestling show in New York's iconic
8	Madison Square Garden ("MSG"). The show at MSG was to be a joint feature between NJPW and
9	Sinclair's ROH. MSG has long been considered WWE's "home turf" and Sinclair intentionally
10	planned the show in MSG as "part of a move to expand the promotion's events into larger venues."
11	83. ROH's MSG show sold out immediately, with wrestling fans excited about a show in
12	this popular and iconic venue. WWE, however, had other plans. WWE had scheduled
13	WrestleMania for the same weekend at the nearby MetLife Stadium, and did not want any ROH
14	MSG show to compete with it.
15	84. <u>In a naked attempt to restrain competition through the abuse of its market power,</u>
16	WWE, through Paul Levesque, its then-Executive Vice President, called MSG to insist that MSG
17	cancel the show with ROH and NJPW. Unable to resist the pressure from the industry behemoth,
18	MSG succumbed, and withdrew from the ROH agreement and cancelled the ROH show. While
19	Sinclair threatened to sue MSG over their agreement, and the show was rescheduled, ROH and
20	NJPW were forced to incur significant legal expense to vindicate their legal rights and to defend
21	against WWE's anti-competitive behavior. A smaller nascent competitor, without the support of
22	Sinclair, may not have been able to resist such pressure and incur the necessary legal expenses to
23	vindicate its rights.
24	85. Similarly, WWE blocked another competing wrestling promotion from hosting show
25	at the Heritage Bank Center, the largest indoor arena in Cincinnati, Ohio. AEW reportedly sought to
26	33
27	<u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT

1	book the arena in or around 2019 and early 2020, only to be rebuffed on account of the arena's long-	
2	standing relationship and agreement with WWE, to the great disappointment of its fans in the area.	
3	86. WWE has also leveraged its dominance and brand recognition to draw audiences	
4	away from competing promotions and thereby prevent rivals from gaining a foothold in the Relevan	
5	Market. For example, WWE recently attempted to thwart the success of AEW's All Out pay-per-	
6	view programming. In previous years, AEW has run its popular All Out program with much succe	
7	during Labor Day weekend. In an effort to prevent AEW from posing a real threat to WWE's	
8	market share, in or around Labor Day 2022, WWE decided to run two premium live events to	
9	coincide with AEW's programming. By specifically targeting key dates of AEW's programming,	
10	WWE sought to draw away the audience from AEW's popular program, even though its own	
11	viewership numbers would also likely suffer from the competing time slots.	
12	<u>V.</u> <u>WWE INTERFERES WITH MLW'S MEDIA RIGHTS DEALS.</u>	
13	87. WWE has also exploited its dominance in the Relevant Market to suppress	
14	competition by repeatedly interfering with and undermining MLW's key media rights deals.	
15	A. WWE Interferes with MLW's Deal with VICE	
16	88. 34. In the spring of 2021, MLW entered into a television deal with VICE, under	
17	which VICE would air MLW's archival footage. At the same time, MLW and VICE were	
18	negotiating an expanded relationship, which would include the airing of new MLW programs on	
19	VICE platforms, as had been publicly reported.	
20	89. 35. In June 2021, after WWE learned about MLW's agreement with and expanding	
21	business relations with VICE, including the parties' plans to air new MLW programs on VICE,	
22	WWE executive Levison warned a VICE executive to stop airing MLW programs, saying that Vinc	
23	McMahon was "pissed" that VICE was airing MLW content programs. At the time, WWE knew th	
24	it had leverage over VICE because VICE, which caters to viewers of professional wrestling, needed	
25	WWE's continued cooperation and access from WWE to ensure the success of its wrestling-related	
26	34	
27	<u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT	

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
	4
	5
2	6

programs. For instance, WWE knew that VICE's special programs included a series, Dark Side of the Ring, that often focused on WWE storylines and included input from individuals associated with WWE. Indeed, later in 2021 VICE aired WWE attorney, Jerry McDevitt, in a starring role on an episode of the VICE series "Dark Side of the Ring," which focuses on wrestling stories, including many involving WWE. Additionally, A&E, which owns a 20-percent\% stake in VICE and runs and owns a majority of VICE's production operations, has a relationship with WWE, airing WWE programs and A&E/WWE partnership programs.

90. 36. The VICE executive responded to Levison that "I think this is illegal what you're doing" and that it was probably an antitrust violation. Levison responded that she could not control McMahon. As a result of WWE's threats to VICE, VICE stopped engaging in discussions with MLW about an expanded media rights deal. WWE's interference resulted in VICE withdrawing from negotiations over airing new MLW content programming and in VICE airing only a single MLW program, special program in October 2021, which the parties had previously committed to. A few weeks later, VICE aired WWE attorney, Jerry McDevitt, in a starring role on an episode of Dark Side of the Ring.

91. 37. Around this same time, FITE, a streaming service focused on combat sports, approached MLW with a media rights offer that would have paid MLW for providing wrestling programs to FITE. MLW immediately agreed to discuss the terms of the deal, but FITE then abandoned it. MLW later learned that FITE's Executive Advisor of Corporate Development, Gregg Bernard, was at the same time working for WWE as Senior Vice President of Strategy and Operations, which -- along with FITE's past use of WWE content, and WWE's ongoing attempts to disrupt MLW opportunities -- further illustrates WWE's dominance and unfair competition in the market.

27 28 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

1	<u>B.</u>	D. WWE Pressures Tubi To Terminate Its Contract with MLW. Interferes with
2		MLW's Deal with Tubi
3	<u>92.</u>	38. On July 22, 2021, MLW entered into a lucrative deal with Tubi an ad-supported
4	streaming ser	vice owned by Fox to air MLW programs documented in a license agreement
5	("License Ag	greement").
6	<u>93.</u>	39. Under the License Agreement,
7		<u>Unlike WWE's media rights</u>
8	deals,	
9		
10	<u>94.</u>	40. The License Agreement was valid and enforceable
11		in the State of California, where Tubi is
12	headquartere	d. California is also home to two of the largest national media markets, the Los
13	Angeles and	San Francisco Bay Area media markets, which together comprise the largest media
14	market in the	United States.
15	<u>95.</u>	41. The License Agreement had a profound impact on MLW's business, greatly
16	increasing the	e company's valuation, strengthening its brand recognition including among viewers
17	of Fox televis	sion and NFL football and making the company more attractive to new wrestling
18	talent. Tubi l	benefitted because it would have new, modern professional wrestling
19	content progra	amming on its platform.
20	<u>96.</u>	42. After the License Agreement was executed, MLW began preparing two live
21	events, include	ding <u>for</u> a <u>FUSION Fusion</u> wrestling performance that was set for September 11, 2021.
22	In preparation	n for the to-be-televised event, MLW rented space at the NYTEX Sports Centre. The
23	second live e	vent was scheduled to take place in Mexico for an Azteca wrestling performance.
24	<u>97.</u>	43. MLW also took other steps to prepare Tubi programming, including hiring staff
25	for MLW's 🚣	AZTECA UNDERGROUND Azteca Underground series, hiring editors, a public
26		36
27		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
	ĺ	<u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>

1	relations agency and a marketing consultant, signing several new wrestlers, and increasing salaries.
2	MLW also ceased all talks with other potential partners in mid-July 2021,
3	
4	
5	98. 44. In advance of the highly anticipated September 11, 2021 launch date, Tubi and
6	MLW agreed to issue a joint press release on August 10, 2021 to announce the parties' new
7	agreement.
8	99. Prior to Tubi and MLW issuing the joint press release, WWE learned about the terms
9	and existence of the License Agreement. On information and belief, executives for Fox Sports and
10	Tubi, which are both based in California, informed WWE about the terms of the License Agreement
11	the day before it was set to launch.
12	100. Prior to Tubi and MLW issuing the joint press release, WWE learned about the terms
13	and existence of the License Agreement. On or about August 9, 2021, WWE executive Stephanie
14	McMahon spoke with a Tubi executive located in California about the License Agreement. Ms.
15	McMahon initially pressured the Tubi executive to deny MLW a time slot that would compete head
16	to-head with WWE's NXT programs on Tuesday nights. But Ms. McMahon ultimately pressured
17	the Tubi executive and other senior executives at Fox to terminate the agreement in its entirety.
18	<u>WWE threatened</u> Tubi's affiliate, Fox, <u>that it</u> could lose WWE's business or preferred content if
19	Tubi did not acquiesce to WWE's demand and terminate its agreement with MLW. <u>Indeed, as noted</u>
20	by an industry publication, WWE had substantial leverage over Fox because Fox was competing
21	with another network for better WWE programming at the same time that WWE was pressuring
22	Tubi to cancel the License Agreement.
23	<u>101.</u> 45. On August 9, 2021 the night before a planned press release about the Tubi-
24	MLW deal as a result of WWE's pressure and interference, MLW received a letter purporting to
25	<u>unilaterally</u> terminate the License Agreement.
26	37
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD
	C450 110. 5.22 07 177 1315

46. Thus, with wanton, reckless disregard for MLW's rights and the antitrust laws, WWE
intentionally and unlawfully interfered with the performance of the License Agreement and procured
its termination. WWE purposefully directed its communications to Tubi in California in order to
disrupt MLW's relationship with Tubi and to eut off MLW's deprive MLW of access to and
competition in major national media markets.

Agreement-shortly after it happened. One report noted that "shortly before the [Tubi-MLW] deal was to be announced publicly in August, WWE was made aware of it. A source close to Fox . . . indicated that WWE did not respond favorably to the deal, which was to be announced imminently after WWE was made aware. As a result, the future of that third party deal was in question."—As noted by that same publication in other reports, WWE had substantial leverage over Fox because Fox was competing with another network for better WWE programming at the same time that WWE was pressuring Tubi to cancel the License Agreement.²⁸

VI. E. WWE'S PREDATORY, ANTI-COMPETITIVE AND TORTIOUS CONDUCT HAS CAUSED Irreparable HARM TO THE COMPETITIVE PROCESS, CONSUMERS AND MLW and Consumers.

- A. WWE's Anti-Competitive Conduct Has Caused Harm to Competition and Consumers
- 103. WWE's exclusionary and anti-competitive conduct has caused harm to competition in the Relevant Market by increasing competitors' long-run production costs, including by denying or restricting access to arenas or other venues for the production of professional wrestling programming

²⁸ Sean Ross Sapp, WWE/FOX Nixed An MLW Streaming Deal; Tons More On The WWE/Peacock Relationship, Fightful (Oct. 17, 2021, 4:00PM).

https://www.fightful.com/wrestling/exclusives/wwefox-nixed-mlw-streaming-deal-tons-more-wwepeacock-relationship.

1	and restricting access to wrestlers, including through predatory hiring and exclusive dealing
2	practices, and foreclosing the revenues from key media companies.
3	104. WWE's conduct has also harmed purchasers and consumers, including purchasers
4	based in California such as Tubi and Fox Sports as well as professional wrestling fans. But for
5	WWE's anti-competitive conduct, both purchasers and consumers would have increased access to
6	professional wrestling programming at lower prices or without having to pay supracompetitive fees,
7	and they would have access to and enjoy a greater variety of professional wrestling programs with
8	higher quality. In particular, MLW's programming is demanded by consumers and but for WWE's
9	anti-competitive conduct, the consumers that demand MLW's programs would have had greater
10	access to MLW programming. The first three episodes of MLW Underground on Reelz averaged
11	83,000 viewers. There are additional potential MLW viewers that were likely harmed due to
12	MLW's exclusion from the Relevant Market stemming from WWE's conduct, including Tubi and
13	Peacock subscribers, who would have been able to stream MLW's programs but for WWE's unfair
14	and exclusionary conduct. These harmed consumers are in addition to the professional wrestling
15	television viewers that demand WWE programming and, on information and belief, are paying
16	higher prices than they otherwise would have but for WWE's anti-competitive conduct.
17	105. WWE's suppression of price competition through exclusive dealing and other anti-
18	competitive acts designed to exclude competitors from the Relevant Market or to suppress its
19	competitors' growth and ability to pose a viable threat to its monopoly power have allowed it to
20	charge and maintain supracompetitive pricing in the Relevant Market, resulting in antitrust injury to
21	purchasers of media rights for professional wrestling programming such as Fox and NBCUniversal.
22	B. WWE's Predatory, Anti-Competitive and Tortious Conduct Has Caused
23	Antitrust Injury to MLW
24	<u>106.</u> 48. As a result of WWE's anti-competitive and tortious conduct, MLW has
25	lost suffered antitrust injury. This harm includes the loss of a valuable and prospective television
26	20

1	rights agreement with VICE, and the loss of a profitable contract with Tubi, along with lost future
2	profits and marketing opportunities, and both of which have resulted in a substantial decline in the
3	company's valuation has substantially declined. MLW also lost the momentum it had built with
4	fans, including a major fan base in California, with ticket sales dropping declining by 40% within
5	weeks of WWE's wrongfulunlawful interference with the License Agreement.
6	107. 49. Because MLW had ceased its discussions with other potential partners in advance
7	of signing the License Agreement, those partners moved on with other deals, and when the Tubi dea
8	abruptly ended, MLW was unable to resume those discussions.
9	108. 50. As a result of WWE's interference, MLW has lost a critical platform to air its new
10	programs. It can take months, if not years, to find such a platform. MLW recently entered into an
11	agreement with Reelz in January 2023 (MLW Underground debuted on Reelz on February 8, 2023),
12	more than a year-and-a-half after WWE disrupted MLW's agreement with Tubi. However, MLW's
13	<u>agreement with Reelz</u>
14	<u>Further,</u>
15	through its exclusive dealing agreement with Peacock, WWE has denied MLW the full benefits of
16	MLW's partnership with Reelz, which now streams its licensed programming on Peacock with the
17	singular exception of MLW. The reduced coverage on Reelz and exclusion from favored platforms
18	such as Peacock, Tubi and VICE has limited MLW's ability to compete. Reporting also suggests
19	that Reelz may not renew MLW's programming as a result of WWE's exclusivity arrangement with
20	Peacock. Although MLW had been offering and still offers its content programs for streaming on
21	YouTube, that doesplatform did not afford it a meaningful audience, and YouTube afforded MLW
22	receives no fees for the rights to its contentprograms and marketing support which are critical to its
23	success as a business.
24	109. 51. In order to To survive economically and meaningfully compete in the Relevant
25	Market, professional wrestling <u>promotion</u> companies need fair, competitive access to media rights
26	
	40 <u>FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT</u>
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

1	partners. As a result of WWE's past and continuing anti-competitive and unlawful conduct,
2	including WWE's interference with MLW's ability to retain media rights, MLW's agreements.
3	MLW has suffered antitrust injury, which includes the diminishment and continued decline of its
4	brand recognition has declined and will continue to decline, it has lost and will continue to lose, the
5	past and ongoing losses of its valuable talent, and the threatened irreparable destruction of its
6	business will be destroyed.
7	52. WWE's exclusionary and anti-competitive conduct has also harmed consumers, includin
8	in California. But for WWE's anti-competitive conduct, consumers would have increased access to
9	professional wrestling entertainment at lower prices without having to pay subscription fees, and
10	they would have access to and enjoy a greater variety of professional wrestling content with higher
11	quality.
12	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
13	(Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations Monopolization Under Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2)
14	110. Plaintiff MLW realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 109 as in
15	fully alleged herein.
16	111. The Relevant Market is the national market for the sale or licensing of media rights
17	for professional wrestling programs in the United States.
18	112. WWE has willfully acquired and maintained monopoly power in the Relevant
19	Market. WWE is the dominant competitor in the Relevant Market and has captured approximately
20	92% of the revenues for the sale or licensing of media rights for professional wrestling programmin
21	in the United States. WWE's dominance and the high barriers to entry in the Relevant Market give
22	it the ability to raise prices and exclude competition in the Relevant Market.
23	113. WWE has systematically increased competitors' costs to access inputs critical to the
24	creation of professional wrestling programming by entering into exclusive dealing arrangements
25	with major media companies, controlling talent through exclusive agreements, predatory hiring of
26	41
27	<u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT <u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>

1	competitors' well-recognized talent, and blocking access to arenas where live professional wrestling
2	events are performed and programming is produced.
3	114. WWE has willfully acquired and maintained monopoly power in the Relevant Market
4	by means of anti-competitive, exclusionary, and predatory business practices including, among other
5	things, entering into exclusive dealing arrangements with major media companies, arenas, and
6	wrestlers, interfering with contracts (including competing promotions' contracts for the sale or
7	licensing of media rights to their programming with media companies and contracts with arenas and
8	with wrestlers), and predatory hiring. As a result of WWE's anti-competitive conduct, WWE has
9	unlawfully restrained and undermined competition, thus maintaining and building its dominance of
10	the Relevant Market.
11	<u>115.</u> <u>Because of its unlawful acts of interference with MLW's contractual relations.</u>
12	including its predatory efforts to prevent MLW and other competing promotions from selling or
13	licensing their media rights for professional wrestling programming for distribution on media
14	platforms such as Fox, Tubi, USA Network, Peacock, and VICE TV, among other unlawful acts,
15	WWE has harmed MLW's ability to attract and acquire talent, gain exposure to new customers,
16	attract and enter into business partnerships for its media rights, and to operate and meaningfully
17	compete in the market.
18	116. WWE's exclusionary behavior has also stifled competition generally. Competing
19	promotions' ability to grow, produce content and pose a viable threat to WWE's monopoly power is
20	diminished, resulting in harm to purchasers in the Relevant Market by a reduction of choice and
21	elimination of price competition.
22	117. WWE's willful conduct as described above has given it the ability to increase prices
23	and exclude competition and has caused antitrust injury, and WWE has exercised its monopoly
24	power to extract supracompetitive prices for the sale or licensing of media rights for professional
25	wrestling programming.
26	42
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
	<u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>

1	<u>118.</u> <u>There is no legitimate business justification for WWE's conduct.</u>
2	<u>MLW has suffered and will suffer irreparable harm to its business from its antitrust</u>
3	injuries caused by WWE's unlawful attempts to exclude competitors, manipulate the market, and
4	unlawfully attempt to monopolize the Relevant Market. MLW therefore is entitled to an injunction
5	that terminates the ongoing violations alleged in this Complaint pursuant to Sections 4 and 16 of the
6	Clayton Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15, 26.
7	120. MLW also has incurred and will continue to incur actual damages as a result of
8	WWE's anti-competitive conduct and is entitled to recover treble damages along with reasonable
9	attorneys' fees and costs.
10	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
11	(Attempted Monopolization Under the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2)
12	121. 53. Plaintiff MLW realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through
13	52120 as if fully alleged herein.
14	54. MLW had a valid and enforceable contract with Tubi, executed
15	in the State of California, which is home to two of the largest national
16	media markets and where Tubi is headquartered.
17	55. MLW substantially performed its obligations under the License Agreement and was
18	ready, willing and able to do so by, among other things, investing resources to rent space for a
19	scheduled live performance, hiring staff for a planned series to be aired and distributed through Tub
20	hiring editors, a public relations agency and a marketing consultant, and retaining several new
21	wrestlers.
22	56. Also as a result of entering into the License Agreement, MLW lost potential economic
23	opportunities when it ceased all talks with other potential partners and rights bidders
24	
25	
26	43
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

57. WWE knew about the existence and terms of the License Agreement. With the intent of disrupting that contract, WWE demanded that Tubi terminate the License Agreement. WWE knew that it had leverage over Tubi due to WWE's business relationship with Tubi and its affiliate, Fox. WWE caused a disruption of the contractual relations by wrongfully inducing Tubi's early termination of the License Agreement.

58. WWE, acting with malice and willful disregard for MLW's rights, interfered with the License Agreement with the intent of causing harm to MLW. MLW has been damaged as a result of WWE's tortious and wrongful conduct, which was a substantial factor in causing that harm, including lost profits and money expended preparing programming pursuant to the now-terminated contract.

- 59. By reason of the foregoing, MLW has incurred and will continue to incur actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Because WWE acted with oppression, fraud and malice, MLW is also entitled to exemplary damages.
- 122. The Relevant Market is the national market for the sale or licensing of media rights for professional wrestling programs in the United States.
- 123. WWE's predatory, exclusionary, and anti-competitive business practices include, among other things, entering into exclusive dealing arrangements with major media companies, arenas, and wrestlers, interfering with competing promotions' contracts for the sale or licensing of media rights to their programming and contracts with arenas and with wrestlers, and predatory hiring. As a result of WWE's anti-competitive conduct, WWE has unlawfully restrained and undermined competition, thus maintaining and building its dominance of the Relevant Market, and threatens a dangerous probability of success at monopolizing the Relevant Market.
- 124. WWE's predatory efforts to prevent and exclude MLW and other competing promotions from selling or licensing their media rights for professional wrestling programming for distribution on media platforms such as Fox, Tubi, USA Network, Peacock, and VICE TV were

1	done with the specific intent to attempt to monopolize the Relevant Market in violation of Section 2
2	of the Sherman Antitrust Act.
3	125. Because of its unlawful acts of interference with MLW's contractual relations, among
4	other unlawful acts, WWE has harmed MLW's ability to attract and acquire talent, gain exposure to
5	new customers, attract and enter into business partnerships for its media rights, and to operate and
6	meaningfully compete in the market.
7	<u>126.</u> <u>Because of WWE's history of anti-competitive behavior, such as interfering with its</u>
8	competitors' contracts, and WWE's entrenched domination of the market, there is a dangerous
9	probability that WWE will be successful in its intended goal of attempting to acquire or maintain
10	monopoly power in the Relevant Market.
11	127. There is no legitimate business justification for WWE's conduct.
12	128. MLW has suffered and will suffer irreparable harm to its business from its antitrust
13	injuries caused by WWE's unlawful attempts to exclude competitors, manipulate the market, and
14	unlawfully attempt to monopolize the Relevant Market. MLW therefore is entitled to an injunction
15	that terminates the ongoing violations alleged in this Complaint pursuant to Sections 4 and 16 of the
16	Clayton Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15, 26.
17	129. MLW also has incurred and will continue to incur actual damages as a result of
18	WWE's anti-competitive conduct and is entitled to recover treble damages along with reasonable
19	attorneys' fees and costs.
20	SECOND THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
21	(Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage)
22	<u>130.</u> Plaintiff MLW realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through
23	59 <u>129</u> as if fully alleged herein.
24	<u>131.</u> 61. As alleged herein, in the spring of 2021, MLW announced that it had an
25	agreement with VICE for the airing of older MLW programs on VICE platforms. At the same time,
26	45
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

MLW was negotiating a media rights deal with VICE that would have resulted in an array of MLW programs airing on VICE platforms.

and MLW, WWE executive Levison warned a VICE executive to stop airing MLW programs, saying that Vince McMahon was "pissed" that VICE was airing MLW contentprograms. At the time, WWE knew that VICE needed continued acquiescence, if not cooperation, from WWE for purposes of ongoing coverage of professional wrestling, and that this gave WWE leverage in discussions with VICE.

133. 63. The VICE executive responded to Levison that "I think this is illegal what you're doing" and that it was probably an antitrust violation. Levison responded that she could not control McMahon.

134. 64. As a result of WWE's threats to VICE, VICE stopped engaging in discussions with MLW about an expanded media rights deal. While VICE subsequently aired one MLW program in the fall of 2021, this was far smaller than the broad media rights deal the parties had been discussing before WWE's interference. That media rights deal would have resulted in expanded profits and marketing opportunities for MLW.

135. 65. WWE acted with malice and willful disregard for MLW's prospective economic advantage with the intent of causing harm to MLW and MLW's relationship with VICE. MLW has been damaged as a result of WWE's tortious and wrongful conduct, which was a substantial factor in causing that harm, including lost profits and marketing opportunities.

136. By reason of the foregoing, MLW has incurred and will continue to incur actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Because WWE acted with oppression, fraud and malice, MLW is also entitled to exemplary damages.

THIRD FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations)

- 137. 67. Plaintiff MLW realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through66136 as if fully alleged herein.
 - 138. MLW had a valid and enforceable contract with Tubi, executed

in the State of California, which is home to two of the largest national media markets and where Tubi is headquartered.

- 139. MLW substantially performed its obligations under the License Agreement and was ready, willing and able to do so by, among other things, investing resources to rent space for a scheduled live performance, hiring staff for a planned series to be aired and distributed through Tubic hiring editors, a public relations agency and a marketing consultant, and retaining several new wrestlers.
- 140. Also as a result of entering into the License Agreement, MLW lost potential economic opportunities when it ceased all talks with other potential partners and rights bidders
- 141. WWE knew about the existence and terms of the License Agreement. With the intent of disrupting that contract, WWE demanded that Tubi terminate the License Agreement. WWE knew that it had leverage over Tubi due to WWE's business relationship with Tubi and its affiliate.

 Fox. WWE caused a disruption of the contractual relations by wrongfully inducing Tubi's early termination of the License Agreement.
- 142. WWE, acting with malice and willful disregard for MLW's rights, interfered with the License Agreement with the intent of causing harm to MLW. MLW has been damaged as a result of WWE's tortious and wrongful conduct, which was a substantial factor in causing that harm, including lost profits and money expended preparing programming pursuant to the now-terminated contract.

<u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT <u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>

- 143. By reason of the foregoing, MLW has incurred and will continue to incur actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Because WWE acted with oppression, fraud and malice, MLW is also entitled to exemplary damages.
- 68. The Relevant Market is the national market for the sale of broadcasting rights for professional wrestling programs to networks, cable and streaming services.
- 69. WWE's predatory efforts to prevent MLW from broadcasting its licensed programs on media platforms such as VICE TV and Tubi were done with the specific intent to attempt to monopolize the Relevant Market in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act.
- 70. WWE is the dominant competitor in the market. WWE's dominance and the high barriers to entry in the market give it the ability to control prices and exclude competition in the market.
- 71. WWE's unfair business practices include, among other things, cutting off competitors' access to viewers and licensing opportunities, interfering with contracts, poaching talent, eliminating price competition, and misappropriating and attempting to misappropriate confidential information of its competitors. As a result of WWE's anti-competitive conduct, WWE has unlawfully restrained and undermined competition, thus maintaining and building its dominance of the Relevant Market and threatens a dangerous probability of success at monopolizing the Relevant Market.
- 72. Because of its unlawful acts of interference with MLW's contractual relations, among other unlawful acts, WWE has harmed MLW's ability to attract and acquire talent, gain exposure to new customers, attract and enter into business partnerships for its media rights, and to operate and meaningfully compete in the market. WWE's exclusionary behavior has also stifled competition generally. MLW's ability to produce a viable substitute for WWE's content is diminished, resulting in harm to consumers by a reduction of choice and elimination of price competition.
- 73. WWE's willful conduct as described above has given it the ability to control prices and exclude competition and has caused MLW an antitrust injury.

74. Because of WWE's history of anticompetitive behavior, such as interfering with its competitors' contracts, and its entrenched domination of the market, there is a dangerous probability that WWE will be successful in its intended goal of attempting to obtain monopoly power in the Relevant Market.

75. There is no legitimate business justification for WWE's conduct.

76. MLW has suffered and will suffer irreparable harm to its business from its antitrust injuries caused by WWE's unlawful attempts to exclude competitors, manipulate the market, and unlawfully attempt to monopolize the Relevant Market. MLW therefore is entitled to an injunction that terminates the ongoing violations alleged in this Complaint pursuant to Sections 4 and 16 of the Clayton Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15, 26.

77. MLW also has incurred and will continue to incur actual damages as a result of WWE's anticompetitive conduct and is entitled to recover trebled damages along with reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

FOURTH FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200 et seq.)

144. 78. Plaintiff MLW realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through77.143 as if fully alleged herein.

145. 79. As described herein, WWE has a history of attempting to unfairly compete against MLW. In 2020 Beginning from as early as 2018, WWE attempted to poach hire away MLW's talent and aired footage of one of MLW's fighters without authorization or consentunder contract. WWE also attempted to induce MLW's wrestlers to breach their contracts and reveal confidential and proprietary information about MLW's business.

<u>146.</u> 80. WWE's efforts to prevent MLW from broadcasting its licensed programs on other media platforms, such as VICE TV and Tubi, violated Section 17200 of California's Business and

Professions Code (the Unfair Competition Law or "UCL") and California common law. WWE's anticompetitive anti-competitive conduct also violated the UCL.

<u>147.</u> 81. MLW is entitled to an injunction barring WWE from further interference withMLW's operations and business opportunities.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

MLW hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues triable of right by a jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, MLW prays that this Court enter judgment in its favor on each and every claim for relief set forth above and award it relief, including but not limited to an order granting:

- 1. Judgment in favor of MLW and against WWE;
- 2. A declaration that WWE's unlawful and predatory interference with MLW's access to the Relevant Market was and is decreed a violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2.
- 2. An award of actual damages and all damages that were a natural result of WWE's tortious conduct, in an amount to be calculated at trial, inclusive of any pre-judgment or post-judgment interest accrued, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3333;
- 4. 3. An award of exemplary damages for WWE's oppressive and malicious tortious conduct, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3294;
- 4. A declaration that WWE's unlawful and predatory interference with MLW's access to the media markets and wrestling talent was and is decreed a violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2.
- Injunctive relief to prevent WWE from engaging in anti-competitive and unfair business practices towards MLW pursuant to California Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.;

1	6. Injunctive relief to prevent WWE from engaging in anti-competitive and unfair
2	business practices towards MLW pursuant to Sections 4 and 16 of the Clayton
3	Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15, 26;
4	7. An award of treble the amount of MLW's damages resulting from its antitrust injurie
5	to be proven at trial in accordance with Section 4 of the Clayton Antitrust Act, 15
6	U.S.C. § 15;
7	8. An award of MLW's costs and expenses of litigation, including attorneys' fees and
8	expert witness fees, in accordance with Section 4 of the Clayton Antitrust Act, 15
9	U.S.C. § 15;
10	9. Interest; and
11	10. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.
12	Dated: January 11 March 6, Respectfully submitted,
13	2022 2023
14	/s/ Jason S. Takenouchi <u>Christine A. Montenegro</u>
	Jason S. Takenouchi (CBN 234835)
15	Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP
16	101 California Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94111
	Telephone: (415) 421-6140
17	Fax: (415) 398-5030
18	JTakenouchi@kasowitz.com
19	Marc E. Kasowitz (pro hac vice forthcoming)
20	Christine A. Montenegro (pro hac vice forthcoming)
20	Nicholas A. Rendino (pro hac vice)
21	Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP 1633 Broadway
22	New York, New York 10019
	Telephone: (212) 506-1700
23	Fax: (212) 506-1800
24	mkasowitz@kasowitz.com
-	cmontenegro@kasowitz.com
25	<u>nrendino@kasowitz.com</u>
26	51
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
<i>- 1</i>	<u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>

	Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 64-1 Filed 03/06/23 Page 53 of 53
1	Attorneys for Plaintiff MLW Media LLC
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	52
27	<u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT <u>Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD</u>
28	